



## **The Symbiotic Evolution: A Review Of Educational Technology And Teacher Professional Competence**

**Sultanov O. S.** (PhD, Acting Associate Professor of the Department of Pedagogy and Psychology, UBS)

**Abstract.** This review article synthesizes findings from 30 scholarly works to explore the dynamic and interdependent relationship between educational technology (EdTech) and teacher professional competence. The analysis reveals a significant paradigm shift: from viewing technology as a mere classroom tool to understanding it as a fundamental driver for developing new dimensions of pedagogical, technological, and psychological competence. The article categorizes the literature into key themes, including the TPACK framework, digital literacy, pedagogical transformation, and barriers to implementation. It concludes by emphasizing the critical and urgent need for continuous, situated professional development to equip educators for the demands of the 21st-century digital learning environment.

### **1. Introduction**

The landscape of education is undergoing a profound transformation, propelled by the rapid integration of digital technologies. This shift has rendered the traditional model of teacher competence—primarily focused on content knowledge and pedagogical skills—insufficient. Today, professional competence is inextricably linked with a teacher's ability to effectively harness educational technology. This article reviews 30 scientific publications to analyze how EdTech redefines teacher competence and to underscore its paramount relevance in contemporary education.

### **2. Theoretical Foundations and Frameworks**

A substantial body of literature establishes the theoretical groundwork for integrating technology into teacher competence.

- **TPACK Framework:** Mishra & Koehler's (2006) seminal work on Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) is a cornerstone. It posits that effective technology integration requires an interplay of content knowledge (CK), pedagogical knowledge (PK), and technological knowledge (TK).





Studies by Voogt et al. (2013) and Archambault & Barnett (2010) have empirically validated TPACK, showing that teachers with high TPACK levels facilitate more engaging and effective learning.

- **SAMR Model:** Puentedura's (2006) SAMR model (Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, Redefinition) provides a practical ladder for understanding how technology can transform learning activities, moving from mere enhancement to complete redefinition.

- **Digital Competence Frameworks:** Works by Ferrari (2012) and the European Commission's DigCompEdu framework (2017) have systematically outlined the specific digital competencies required by educators, ranging from information literacy to facilitating student digital empowerment.

### **3. The Expansion of "Digital Literacy"**

Early literature, such as that by Gilster (1997), defined digital literacy primarily as the ability to use software and hardware. Contemporary research, including that by Ng (2012) and Spante et al. (2018), expands this to include critical evaluation of digital information, digital citizenship, data privacy, and the creation of digital content. This is no longer an optional add-on but a core component of professional competence.

A significant cluster of studies moves beyond "using" tech to "teaching" with tech. Zhao & Czikowski (2001) argued for conditions where teachers perceive technology as superior to traditional methods. Ertmer & Ottenbreit-Leftwich (2010) emphasize that technology integration is most effective when it supports student-centered pedagogies like project-based learning (PBL) and collaborative inquiry, as further supported by Hattie's (2009) meta-analyses on visible learning.

Technology has revolutionized formative assessment. Works by Black & Wiliam (2009) and, more recently, Wiliam (2018) highlight how digital tools (e.g., quizzes, learning analytics dashboards) enable real-time feedback and personalized learning paths, a concept explored in depth by Pane et al. (2015) in the context of blended learning.

Research by Hew & Cheung (2013) on social media in education and Garrison et al.'s (2000) Community of Inquiry (CoI) model demonstrate that technology breaks down classroom walls, fostering collaboration among students (peer-to-peer) and between teachers and parents (e.g., through platforms like ClassDojo, as analyzed by Selwyn et al., 2017).





A critical thread in the literature identifies impediments to developing this competence. Ertmer's (1999) distinction between "first-order" (external, e.g., lack of resources, time) and "second-order" (internal, e.g., beliefs, attitudes) barriers remains highly influential. Studies by Inan & Lowther (2010) and Tondeur et al. (2012) confirm that without addressing teachers' pedagogical beliefs and self-efficacy (a concept rooted in Bandura's (1997) social cognitive theory), technology training alone often fails.

#### **4. Contemporary Relevance and Urgency**

The relevance of this symbiotic relationship is more acute today than ever before, driven by three major factors:

1. **The Post-Pandemic Reality:** The COVID-19 pandemic, as documented in global reports by the OECD (2020) and UNESCO (2020), acted as a forced experiment in remote learning. It starkly revealed the competence gap, making the need for robust technological-pedagogical skills non-negotiable for educational continuity.

2. **The Rise of AI and Immersive Technologies:** The advent of Generative AI (e.g., ChatGPT), as discussed by Zhai (2022), and immersive technologies like VR/AR (Dunleavy & Dede, 2014) are creating new frontiers. Teacher competence now must include the ability to critically evaluate AI-generated content, use AI as a collaborative tool for lesson planning, and integrate immersive experiences into curricula.

3. **The Demand for Lifelong and Personalized Learning:** In a rapidly changing global economy, education systems must foster lifelong learning. Teachers competent in EdTech are better equipped to design personalized, flexible learning experiences that cater to diverse student needs, preparing them for a future of constant reskilling and upskilling.

#### **5. Conclusion**

The synthesis of these 30 scholarly works unequivocally demonstrates that educational technology and teacher professional competence are two sides of the same coin. The TPACK framework provides a robust model for understanding this integration, while contemporary research highlights the expanded definitions of digital literacy and the transformative potential of technology for pedagogy, assessment, and collaboration. The barriers, particularly internal beliefs, remain significant.

The contemporary relevance is undeniable. In the face of global disruptions and technological leaps, developing teacher competence in EdTech





is not merely an administrative goal but a fundamental imperative for creating resilient, relevant, and equitable education systems. Future professional development must therefore be continuous, collaborative, and deeply situated in practice, moving from one-off tool training to fostering a mindset of innovative and reflective teaching with technology.

### References

1. Archambault, L. M., & Barnett, J. H. (2010). Revisiting technological pedagogical content knowledge: Exploring the TPACK framework.
2. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*.
3. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (2009). Developing the theory of formative assessment.
4. Dede, C. (2009). Immersive interfaces for engagement and learning.
5. Dunleavy, M., & Dede, C. (2014). Augmented reality teaching and learning.
6. Ertmer, P. A. (1999). Addressing first- and second-order barriers to change.
7. Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher technology change: How knowledge, beliefs, and culture intersect.
8. European Commission. (2017). *European Framework for the Digital Competence of Educators (DigCompEdu)*.
9. Ferrari, A. (2012). *Digital Competence in Practice: An Analysis of Frameworks*.
10. Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (2000). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment.
11. Gilster, P. (1997). *Digital Literacy*.
12. Hattie, J. (2009). *\*Visible Learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement\**.
13. Hew, K. F., & Cheung, W. S. (2013). Use of Web 2.0 technologies in K-12 and higher education.
14. Inan, F. A., & Lowther, D. L. (2010). Factors affecting technology integration in K-12 classrooms.
15. Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge.
16. Ng, W. (2012). Can we teach digital natives digital literacy?
17. OECD. (2020). *\*Schooling Disrupted, Schooling Rethought: How the Covid-19 pandemic is changing education\**.



18. Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., & Hamilton, L. S. (2015). *Continued Progress: Promising Evidence on Personalized Learning*.
19. Puentedura, R. R. (2006). Transformation, Technology, and Education.
20. Selwyn, N., Nemorin, S., Bulfin, S., & Johnson, N. F. (2017). Left to their own devices: The everyday realities of one-to-one classrooms.
21. Spante, M., Hashemi, S. S., Lundin, M., & Algers, A. (2018). Digital competence and digital literacy in higher education research.
22. Tondeur, J., van Braak, J., Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. (2012). Preparing pre-service teachers to integrate technology in education.
23. UNESCO. (2020). *Education in a post-COVID world: Nine ideas for public action*.
24. Voogt, J., Fisser, P., Pareja Roblin, N., Tondeur, J., & van Braak, J. (2013). Technological pedagogical content knowledge - a review of the literature.
25. Wiliam, D. (2018). *Embedded Formative Assessment*.
26. Zhai, X. (2022). ChatGPT and AI in Education: What are the opportunities and challenges?
27. Zhao, Y., & Cziko, G. A. (2001). Teacher adoption of technology: A perceptual control theory perspective.
28. Hsu, P. S. (2016). Examining current beliefs, practices and barriers about technology integration.
29. Krumsvik, R. J. (2014). Teacher educators' digital competence.