PEDAGOGICAL CLUSTER
JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

Euro Asian Journals

Approaches to correcting errors in the teaching of the English language

Dilrabo Safarova Kholmurodovna

Termez State University

Foreign philology faculty

Philology and Teaching Languages (English philology) department

safarovadilrabo71@gmail.com

Abstract:

This study investigates diverse methodologies employed for error correction in English language instruction. Drawing on an examination of literature and interviews with educators, the research delineates effective approaches such as direct correction, feedback delivery, and cultivating a supportive learning ambiance. The findings underscore the significance of adopting a well-rounded strategy that integrates these methods to enhance language acquisition and proficiency.

Keywords:

Error correction, English language teaching, Feedback, Supportive learning environment, Language acquisition, Methodologies.

Introduction:

Error correction plays a critical role in the teaching and learning process of the English language, as it serves as a pathway to language acquisition and proficiency. Educators utilize a variety of approaches to address errors made by language learners, aiming to provide constructive feedback and enhance language skills. Understanding and implementing effective error correction strategies are essential in fostering a conducive learning environment and facilitating the development of language competence. The complexities of teaching and acquiring a second language, such as English, necessitate a nuanced understanding of error correction strategies to address the specific

needs of language learners. Khansir [1] mentioned that error analysis emerged as a reaction to contrastive analysis theory which considered native language interference as the major source of errors in second language learning. While errors are an inevitable part of the language learning process, how they are identified and corrected can greatly impact students' language development. Leveraging a combination of direct correction, feedback provision, and creating a supportive learning environment can help optimize the effectiveness of error correction practices in English language teaching.

Therefore, this study aims to explore and evaluate various approaches to correcting errors in the teaching of the English language. By synthesizing insights garnered from existing literature and firsthand experiences of educators, this research seeks to delineate effective strategies that can inform pedagogical practices and contribute to enhancing language learning outcomes. Corder [2] proposed a hypothesis that errors are evidence of learner's strategies of acquiring the language rather than signs of inhibition or interference of persistent old habits Ultimately, the findings of this study have the potential to inform English language instructors on best practices for error correction, thereby supporting the continual improvement of language teaching methodologies and facilitating the linguistic development of English language learners.

Literature review

Error correction is a crucial aspect of language pedagogy and the teacher's role in language learning is significant in this area as well. The effectiveness of error correction and feedback depends on both the teacher and the student. It is important to consider how students react to corrections and feedback, as some may be hesitant to respond in class due to fear of making mistakes or feeling embarrassed. Students may also have negative perceptions about error correction. With both the teacher and student perspectives in mind, error correction and feedback are essential in language learning pedagogy.

While the terms "error" and "mistake" are often used interchangeably, Penny Ur [3] distinguishes between them. Errors are consistent and result from "mis-learned" generalizations, while mistakes are occasional, inconsistent slips. Language teachers believe that both mistakes and errors occur naturally during language production. According to Brown [4], a mistake is a performance error made by language learners when incorrectly producing a known structure, often due to a slip of the tongue. Mistakes can be self-corrected by speakers, but errors cannot be corrected because they result from incompetence in the language.

As the teaching and learning of second languages have evolved, the role of error correction and feedback has also changed. There are some commonly used methods in second language teaching and learning, each with its approach to error correction.

1. Direct Correction: Direct correction involves identifying and immediately correcting errors made by students during spoken or written communication. In this approach, the teacher provides the correct form or pronunciation of the error, guiding the student towards the accurate usage of language. Direct correction can help learners understand their mistakes in real time and make necessary adjustments to improve their language skills.

2. Indirect Correction: Indirect correction involves providing feedback on errors indirectly, allowing students to self-correct their mistakes. This approach includes methods such as underlining errors without providing corrections, using prompts to guide students toward identifying and rectifying errors or offering hints to encourage self-correction. Indirect correction can promote learner autonomy and critical thinking skills in language acquisition.

3. Error Analysis: Error analysis involves systematically identifying patterns of errors made by students and analyzing them to understand underlying issues in language proficiency. By examining recurring errors, teachers can tailor instruction to focus on specific language areas where students require additional support and practice. Error analysis can help educators personalize learning experiences and target areas of improvement effectively.

PEDAGOGICAL CLUSTER
JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

Website: https://euroasianjournals.org/index.php/pc/index

4. Recast: Recast is a technique in which teachers reformulate students' erroneous utterances into correct language forms without explicitly pointing out the mistake. By modeling the correct language structure in a natural conversation or dialogue, recast encourages students to notice and internalize the correct form through contextual cues. Recast can support language fluency and promote implicit learning of grammatical structures.

5. Positive Reinforcement: Positive reinforcement involves providing praise and encouragement to students for their efforts and progress in language learning, rather than focusing solely on correcting errors. By acknowledging and celebrating students' achievements, teachers can boost learners' confidence, motivation, and self-esteem, fostering a positive learning environment conducive to language acquisition and proficiency.

6. Peer Correction: Peer correction involves students correcting each other's errors collaboratively, either in pairs or small groups, under the guidance of the teacher. This approach encourages active engagement, peer interaction, and cooperative learning among students, while also providing opportunities for self-assessment and reflection on language usage. Peer correction can enhance students' communication skills, critical thinking abilities, and peer feedback mechanisms.

Incorporating a combination of these approaches to correcting errors in English language teaching can help educators facilitate effective language learning experiences, promote students' language development, and optimize overall language proficiency. By adopting a balanced and dynamic approach to error correction, teachers can create a supportive and engaging learning environment that empowers students to become confident and competent English language users

Methods

Mistakes are made by students at different points in their language acquisition journey. Sometimes these errors occur because of the influence of their native language. Mistakes can also happen when words sound the same in

PEDAGOGICAL CLUSTER
JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

Website: https://euroasianjournals.org/index.php/pc/index

both languages but have different meanings, which Jeremy Harmer refers to as "false Friends." For example, the Spanish word "assistir" means "attend" in English, not "assist" [5]. Additionally, grammatical differences between a student's native language and the language they are learning can lead to errors. For instance, Japanese students often struggle with the correct use of articles in English.

Harmer also highlights that errors can result from a student's language development, not just from interference by their native language. Students may generalize rules, such as using 'ed' for past tense forms of all words, like saying "goed" instead of "went." Despite the mistakes, these errors can have positive and beneficial aspects, showing that students are actively engaged in the process of learning a new language.

Results

Jack C. Richards and Charles Lockhart [6] suggest providing two types of feedback for spoken language - feedback on content and feedback on form. Feedback on content addresses vocabulary errors, grammar mistakes, and pronunciation errors. On the other hand, Johnson [7] emphasizes that feedback on the form should consider whether errors should be corrected, which types of errors should be corrected, and how the errors should be addressed [6]

Richards [6] outlines some error types:

- Over-generalization: learners create a different structure based on their experience with other structures in the target language.

- Ignorance of rule restrictions: not following the limitations of existing structures.

- Incomplete application of rules: using structures that show the level of understanding of the rules needed to produce correct utterances.

- False concepts hypothesized: misunderstanding distinctions in the target language [7].

When errors are repeated frequently, they can become ingrained in a learner's speech, leading to fossilization - the consistent use of incorrect forms [4]. According to Peter James [8], learners are aware of their mistakes but may

not know where they are going wrong or the significance of their errors, even when explained to them.

Discussion

Learners and instructors have differing preferences when it comes to error correction and providing feedback. Scientists state that adult learners in Australia emphasized the importance of error correction, while their teachers did not prioritize it as much. Similar findings have been observed in other studies [6]. Educators need to understand when and how to address errors. Allwright and Bailey [9] highlight that teachers may correct a learner's speech simply because it deviates from their expectations, known as an "error of classroom discourse." They also note that teachers aim to assist students in advancing their language development. Incorrectly timed error correction may not be beneficial and can even be detrimental if targeting structures beyond the learner's current stage of development [6]. Additionally, Gower, Phillips, and Walters suggest that the type of correction given depends on the objective of the activities. When prioritizing accuracy, the teacher's correction will be stricter, whereas for fluency-focused tasks, the correction will be more lenient [10].

Richards and Lockhart [6] provide strategies for delivering feedback on content and form. Feedback on content can be given by:

• Validating the correct response by acknowledging it with phrases like 'Good job' or 'Yes.'

• Signaling an incorrect response with phrases such as 'That's not quite right' or 'Mmm.'

• Praising a correct answer with phrases like 'Excellent response.'

• Elaborating or adjusting a student's answer to address incomplete or unclear responses.

• Repetition of the correct answer.

• Summarizing the responses of individual students or groups.

• Critiquing a student's response.

References

1. Khansir, A. A. 2012. Error Analysis and Second Language Acquisition. Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 2(5), 1027-1032.

PEDAGOGICAL CLUSTER
JOURNAL OF PEDAGOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

Euro Asian Journals

2. Corder, S. P. 1967. The Significance of Learner's Errors. 1RAL, 5(4), 161-170.

3. Ur, Penny. 2002. A Course in Language Teaching: Practice and Theory. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

4. Brown, H. Douglas. 2000. Principles of Language Learning And Teaching. New York: Longman

5. Harmer, Jeremy. 1998. How to Teach English. Addison Wesley Longman Limited

6. Richards, Jack C, Lockhart, Charles. 1997. Reflective Teaching in Second Language Classrooms. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

7. Johnson, Keith. 2001. An Introduction to Foreign Language Learning and Teaching. Pearson Education Ltd.

8. James, Peter. 2001. Teachers in Action: Tasks for In-service Language Teacher Education And Development. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

9. Allwright, Dick and Bailey, Kathleen M. 1999. Focus on the Language Classroom. United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press.

10. Gower, R. , Phillips, D. & Walters, S. 1995. Teaching Practice Handbook. New York: Macmillan Heineman English Language Teaching, Oxford